Pice.,

	Approved For Release 2003/06/17 (APP) P80R01731R003400100010-7
	25X1
	MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION
25X1	of DD/P came to see me at suggestion of Lofty Becker with respect to the problem of Soviet, U. S. and world reaction to real or fictional exposure of U. S. espionage cases. Some of the objectives of such a study would be to determine:
	a. What attitude the President, Secretary of State, and Secretary of Defense should take in cases of Soviet accusation; should they know what are the facts or can they dony governmental connection better without facts?
	b. What are the effects on existing operations?
	c. What effect is there on operational planning?
	d. What attitude do we want the United States public to have?
	2. In response to their inquiry as to what I thought of this I suggested as follows:
	a. This job be pinned on an individual in ED/P or O/SO and not on a committee.
۰	b. That that individual carefully rack up with some precision the intelligence questions that need to be answered and that then the Office which has the capability be asked to produce the necessary information.* Thus:
	(1) An immediate request could be levied on the divisions of O/SO to indicate which of their cases have been blown.
	(2) In certain major and recent cases made public, such as the CI could be asked to cull their information all-sources (including reports) on Soviet actions and reactions.
	(3) The State Department Public Affairs Office to be asked through R for the elipping file on U. S. editorial, radio, and general press reaction on certain specific cases of interest.
25X1	* When support unit in 30 is organized this kind of bringing together of intelligence would normally fall in line.

- (4) OIR-State be requested to make available United Nations reactions on certain specific cases of interest.
- (5) OCI on a continuing basis could be asked to keep a constant alert for information bearing upon either past or new Russian allegations of espionage incidents.
- c. When the basic materials have been assembled O/NE may be able to give assistance in the event it points to a useful estimate of Russian intentions. Chet Hansen could, I think, make a contribution of the U.S. public attitude aspects of the problem.
- 3. This project might be mentioned by DD/P or 0/S0 in the Director's Staff Conference for the information of the Staff.
- 4. Two problems mentioned in the memorandum I read end separable from the foregoing:
  - a. Within the framework of MSCID 5 who is doing what in espionage (I understand of SO is negotiating with Defense agencies on this); and

25X1

b. Can we get a commitment from the other agencies to inform SO when an operation of the other agencies is blown?

These problems SO is already on the way to handling but by and large do not concern the intelligence officer.

JAMES Q. REBER
Assistant Director
Intelligence Coordination

	Distr:	I/aa	
25X1			